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INTRODUCTION

South Sudan is the world’s youngest country and home to roughly 11 million people from over 60 ethnic groups. A civil war erupted in 2013, just two years after the country gained independence. Over 380,000 people have died, and over four million people have been displaced in the conflict, which has created the largest refugee crisis in Africa. Despite having brokered a peace deal in 2018, conflict continues, particularly at the sub-national level. Civilians across the country are at serious risk of future mass atrocities, and those in Upper Nile, Jonglei and Greater Pibor, the Equatorias, and Warrap and Lakes states are at a particularly acute risk. As the country prepares for its first elections in over ten years and as international attention is focused on other crises in the region, South Sudan is at a pivotal moment in which the situation could rapidly devolve. The time for the international community to act to prevent future atrocities is now.

BACKGROUND TO THE CURRENT CONFLICT

In July 2011, South Sudan became independent from Sudan after decades of brutal civil war in which nearly two million people were killed. Two years after independence, a political crisis erupted into a large-scale civil conflict that took on an ethnic tone, as supporters of President Kiir (the majority of whom belong to the Dinka ethnic group) battled forces loyal to Vice President Riek Machar (the majority of whom belong to the Nuer ethnic group). The conflict quickly spread throughout the country and civilians were targeted, often on the basis of their ethnicity or perceived political affiliation.

In August 2015, the regional Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD) brokered a power-sharing agreement between the country’s ruling party—the Sudan People’s Liberation Movement (SPLM)—and other parties to the conflict, including the country’s largest opposition group—the Sudan People’s Liberation Movement In Opposition (SPLM-IO (RM)). However, the agreement fell apart in 2016 and parties to the conflict began killing, raping, and committing violence against civilians on the basis of their ethnicity or perceived political affiliation. In November 2016, the United Nations (UN) Special Adviser on the Prevention of Genocide warned that the situation could evolve into a genocide. Conflict spread again, particularly in Western Bahr-el-Ghazal, Western Equatoria state, and Central Equatoria state, and included many other ethnic groups.

Key Points

- South Sudanese civilians face a risk of mass atrocities from government forces, armed militia, and opposition groups as political instability at the national level increases
- Impunity has fostered a culture in which the commission of mass atrocity crimes is normalized
- In the leadup to the 2023 elections, President Salva Kiir could lead violent crackdowns on organized gatherings in an effort to silence opposition
- If government and opposition forces continue to unify into a single army, infighting could spill over into violence against and among civilian groups.

The Simon-Skjodt Center focuses on situations where there is a risk of, or ongoing, genocide and related crimes against humanity. The Center primarily works on large-scale, group-targeted, identity-based mass atrocities. Mass atrocities are large-scale, systematic attacks against civilian populations.
In September 2018, parties to the conflict signed the Revitalized Agreement on the Resolution of the Conflict in South Sudan (R-ARCSS), but conflict and deliberate attacks on civilians continued. Backed by the country’s national army (the South Sudan People’s Defense Force (SSPDF)) and the government’s security force (the National Security Service (NSS)), President Kiir stoked conflict among and committed atrocities against civilians, particularly in periods where opposition leaders threatened his power. Opposition forces, including the Sudan People’s Liberation Army In Opposition (SPLA-IO), and other rebel groups also committed atrocities against civilians.

In February 2020, the Revitalized Transitional Government of National Unity (R-TGoNU) formed under substantial external pressure, but implementation of the peace agreement has since stalled, progress on establishing the new government has slowed, and local-level conflict has continued. Parties to the conflict have not reconciled despite the formation of the transitional government and local governance arrangements have replicated national-level political and security contests at the state and local levels. Meanwhile, in August 2021, the SPLM-IO split into two factions, the newest of which has now joined the SPLM. Furthermore, in late 2021, the UN reported that “over the past two years, more than $73 million USD was diverted” from government coffers and resources to fund “unaccountable patronage systems” and weapons, and observed that these corrupt practices continue to fund violence.

**ONGOING MASS ATROCITIES**

Since the 2018 peace agreement, conflict at the national level has subsided but parties to the conflict continue to target and kill civilians. In December 2021, the UN Mission in South Sudan (UNMISS) reported that over 800 civilians had been killed, abducted, injured, or subjected to conflict-related sexual violence over the course of three months in 2021.

There are a number of areas of concern throughout the country. Most notably, attacks between ethnically-aligned militia from Murle, Dinka, and Lou Nuer groups have displaced tens of thousands of civilians in Jonglei from those same communities and left approximately 1,000 people dead or wounded. Between June and October 2021, 240 people were killed and over 80,000 people were displaced by fighting in Tambura, Western Equatoria state. These attacks show no signs of abating: there are no effective local dispute resolution mechanisms to resolve core grievances and government and opposition forces are supporting and fueling this conflict.

South Sudan was ranked the 16th highest risk country for experiencing a new mass killing in 2021 or 2022, according to the Early Warning Project, and has ranked at least that high every year since 2014 (the first year of the rankings). This ranking almost certainly understates the risks to South Sudanese civilians since it reflects only the possibility of a new mass killing, not the likelihood that ongoing mass atrocities could continue or escalate.

**FACTORS DRIVING ONGOING MASS ATROCITIES**

Crimes against humanity and war crimes—including murder, rape, torture, abduction, arbitrary detention, displacement, and deliberate starvation, among many other crimes—have been committed against South Sudanese men, women, and children for over a century. Multiple factors drive these ongoing mass atrocities.

**Armed conflict**

The chief driver of mass atrocities against civilians in South Sudan is ongoing armed conflict, particularly at the local level. Since 2013, armed militia and opposition groups have proliferated, often along ethnic lines, as different groups vied for political and economic power. Meanwhile, natural disasters such as flooding have contributed to sub-national conflict over limited resources and land. Parties to the conflict routinely resort to violent attacks against civilians to drive them out of their land to gain access to resources and political power.

**Discrimination, ethnicity, and politics**

Since independence, the South Sudanese government has discriminated against members of specific ethnic groups, often due to their perceived political affiliation. Many key security and economic positions in the government are held by members of the Dinka ethnic group. The government has diverted natural resources, blocked food aid, restricted humanitarian access, and taken other steps that have disadvantaged communities in areas controlled by opposition forces.

The targeted groups often belong to specific clans, tribes, and ethnic groups, including the Shilluk, Nuer, Fertit, and Luo communities as well as groups in Yei and Lainya, which have in some cases formed armed rebel and opposition groups. For over a decade, Shilluk peoples in Upper Nile have been attacked, forcibly displaced, and deliberately starved. These attacks—which at times may have constituted ethnic...
cleansing—continued at a more limited scale through July and August 2021. Government forces have also targeted Dinka groups, including in Warrap and Lakes states.

Impunity for mass atrocities
Impunity has fostered a culture in which the commission of mass atrocity crimes is normalized. No government officials or leaders of armed groups have been prosecuted, nor have there been any meaningful steps taken to advance transitional justice. Not only does this leave grievances unresolved, but in the absence of effective punishment there is no deterrence to perpetrators continuing to commit crimes, which leaves potential victims at risk. Moreover, it reinforces the notion that violence works as a tactic to secure political power and silence civilian populations.

FUTURE MASS ATROCITY RISKS
This section outlines four scenarios that could cause the situation in South Sudan to deteriorate. However, the ongoing occurrence of deliberate attacks on civilians means that a continuation of the status quo, even if none of these scenarios unfold, would represent a continuation of mass atrocities. This demands a concerted international response.

2023 Elections
Elections are slated to occur in 2023 for the first time since 2010. If they proceed, mounting dissent within President Kiir’s party could increase his sense of insecurity. The prospect of elections could also prompt civil society and social movements to become more organized and active around issues such as election credibility and freedom of political opposition. This could further influence the threat level (actual or perceived) to the status quo of the Kiir regime. The perceived threat might lead President Kiir and his supporters to attack civilians in the lead up to elections to suppress the vote.

Fallout from the SPLM-IO split
In August 2021, the SPLM-IO split into two factions: one led by Vice President Machar, the other led by former SPLM-IO Chief of Staff Simon Gatwech. The latter faction has now joined the SPLM. The split has led to infighting between the two factions, which has already escalated to a serious and deadly level. Fragmentation of the opposition could lead to further conflict in which civilians suffer and are targeted; when these alliances form and/or split, violence inevitably occurs against civilians.

Protests and looting by military personnel
South Sudan is experiencing a catastrophic humanitarian crisis: over six million South Sudanese face food insecurity, more than two million of whom are in a state of emergency. If the humanitarian situation continues to deteriorate, it could prompt military personnel—dozens of whom have reportedly starved to death in cantonment sites—to protest and loot civilian property, leading to a rapid deterioration in the security and humanitarian situations. Such events would likely increase tensions, degrade law and order, and lead to unpredictable violence. There is precedence for this: reports of government forces looting civilian property were a key trigger in the violence that erupted in July 2016 in Juba, which left 300 people dead in a matter of days.

Unification of government and opposition forces
The R-ARCSS requires government and opposition forces to unify. This process, which international actors have prioritized throughout the peace process, has yet to occur. If forces do unify, longstanding and unresolved conflict between the government and opposition could boil over, leading to infighting within the army in Juba. Similar dynamics led to fighting in 2016 in which dozens of civilians were killed, raped, gang raped, beaten, and harassed, and civilian property was looted, leaving 36,000 civilians displaced in a matter of days and leading to a full-scale resumption of civil war.

RESPONSE
United Nations (UN)
In 2011, the UN Security Council (UNSC) established UNMISS with a mandate that includes providing physical protection to civilians, which has since been extended multiple times. The UNSC imposed a sanctions regime in 2015 and an arms embargo in 2018, which have since been renewed. In 2016, the UN Human Rights Council established the Commission on Human Rights in South Sudan (CHRSS) to “determine and report the facts and circumstances of, collect and preserve evidence of, and clarify responsibility for alleged gross violations and abuses of human rights and related crimes.” UnMISS and the CHRSS have both published reports confirming that multiple parties to the conflict may have committed crimes against humanity and war crimes since December 15, 2013. Moreover, the CHRSS has a list of names of “persons of interests,” which it has compiled into a sealed dossier and handed over to the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) for future potential justice processes.

United States Holocaust Memorial Museum
The CHRSS plans to provide its evidence to the Hybrid Court for South Sudan (HCSS) if it is established and has procedures for providing evidence in other cases at the national, regional, or international level.\textsuperscript{37}

**African Union (AU)**

Shortly after the conflict erupted in 2013, the AU established a Commission of Inquiry in South Sudan (AUCISS) to report on human rights violations and other abuses committed during the conflict in South Sudan. In October 2014, AUCISS found that there was a reasonable basis to conclude that war crimes and crimes against humanity had occurred.\textsuperscript{38} On the one hand, the report has made it difficult for the AU to disregard the situation in South Sudan, but on the other, it may have staved off more internationalized responses. Chapter V of the R-ARCSS mandates the AU establish transitional justice mechanisms, including the HCSS, but the AU has failed to take concrete action.\textsuperscript{39}

**United States (US)**

The United States played a leading role in supporting South Sudanese independence. With the United Kingdom and Norway, the United States coordinates much of its diplomatic efforts as part of "The Troika." Since 2013, the United States has provided $4.9 billion in humanitarian assistance to the South Sudanese government and has played an important role in seeking to resolve the war. In 2014, the United States enacted the South Sudanese sanctions program against specific individuals and entities.\textsuperscript{40} The following year, the United States pledged $5 million to set up the HCSS, funding that it withdrew in 2021 due to the lack of progress. In 2020, the US Senate passed Resolution 371, which called on the South Sudanese government to take steps to implement the R-ARCSS,\textsuperscript{41} but the situation has been overshadowed by other crises in the region, including in Ethiopia and Sudan. Since July 2020, the United States has had no ambassador in South Sudan and its diplomacy has primarily involved Troika statements of condemnation and encouragement towards parties to the conflict.

**Local-level responses**

Despite the absence of national leadership to stem violence and conflict, local communities have convened peace processes such as the Pieri conference in Jonglei and Marial Bai agreements in Western Bahr-el-Ghazal.\textsuperscript{42} Mobile and military courts have occasionally prosecuted lower-level perpetrators who lack political protection for conflict-related crimes.\textsuperscript{43} For example, a court martial in Yei convicted 26 government soldiers of perpetrating murder, rape, and looting (among other crimes) during a government-led counter-insurgency operation.\textsuperscript{44} However, despite the value of the justice process in Yei, it did not lead to compensation or acknowledgment of the victims’ pain and suffering and has reportedly left victims "without peace of mind."\textsuperscript{45} Moreover, the CHRSS noted that rape victims were required to publicly identify the perpetrators in court, which put them at risk of reprisal. In at least one instance, a husband of one of the victims was killed.
POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

South Sudanese leaders, regional actors, and international allies must take urgent action to prevent further mass atrocities. This section recommends policy options that specific actors can take to prevent mass atrocities and protect civilians.

FOR ALL PARTIES TO THE CONFLICT

- Stop committing mass atrocities
- Stop funding and providing weapons to local armed militias
- Stop the pattern of arbitrary detention, disappearance, and surveillance of civil society members, including those who are working to document atrocity crimes and promote accountability

FOR THE SOUTH SUDANESE GOVERNMENT

1. Address conflict-driving grievances
   - Develop a transparent, credible, and timely electoral process to reduce tensions and the risk of violence
   - Hold a credible and inclusive civil society consultation to seek new ways to sustainably address food insecurity and prevent politicians from profiting from the humanitarian assistance economy
2. Promote accountability and redress for past mass atrocities
   - Enact enabling legislation to establish the transitional justice mechanisms under Chapter V of the R-ARCSS to prosecute perpetrators of past mass atrocities and promote redress for victims
   - Hold those who perpetrate crimes against civilians, including aid workers, criminally responsible
   - Support efforts to search for missing persons, including women who were taken during the conflict and who remain in sexual slavery
   - Develop programs to support children born of rape

FOR THE AU

1. Address conflict-driving grievances
   - Press for inclusive, safe, free, fair, and independent electoral processes and timing, which prioritizes safe participation for all civilians
2. Promote accountability for past mass atrocities
   - Gather and store evidence of crimes for the purpose of supporting future accountability mechanisms, such as the HCSS
   - Demand that the AU and R-TGoNU proceed with the establishment of the HCSS as provided for in the R-ARCSS
3. Improve information on the commission of mass atrocities and their consequences
   - Assess and condemn the increased crackdown on civilians’ basic civil and political rights as part of a broader atrocity prevention strategy

FOR IGAD

1. Address conflict-driving grievances
   - Mediate between the two factions of the SPLM-IO
   - Include both factions of the SPLM-IO in peace negotiations and other non-signatories to the peace agreement

FOR UNMISS

1. Address conflict-driving grievances
   - Support civil society programming on social cohesion, depolarization, and local dialogue targeting youth to ensure another generation is not further divided
   - Invest in civil society to build civic capacity, democracy, and local governing and organizing capabilities
   - Support efforts to hold perpetrators of mass atrocities accountable
2. Improve information on the commission of mass atrocities and their consequences
   - Assess and condemn the increased crackdown on civilians’ basic civil and political rights as part of a broader atrocity prevention strategy
   - Support updating the mortality survey and a new mechanism for tracking violence against civilians
FOR THE US GOVERNMENT

1. Increase support to processes that address conflict-driving grievances
   - Fund and improve the sustainability of local peace processes and dispute resolution mechanisms, including through subsequent programming that is coordinated with local justice efforts
   - Press for inclusive, safe, free, fair, and independent electoral processes and timing, which prioritizes safe participation for all civilians

2. Promote accountability for past mass atrocities
   - Support long-term efforts to hold perpetrators of past crimes accountable and to provide justice and redress for victims, including by funding transitional justice mechanisms under Chapter V of the R-ARCSS\(^46\)
   - Impose additional sanctions for key state and non-state actors who participate in human rights violations, including intimidation and targeting of civilians who work to promote justice and accountability\(^47\)

3. Improve information on the commission of mass atrocities and their consequences
   - Support an update to the mortality statistical findings from 2018
   - Release and update the US Agency for International Development report that traces financial benefits and possible fueling of the war economy and bad actors with humanitarian assistance resources

4. Increase support to processes that aim to promote security
   - Explore alternatives to the current security sector reform efforts to mitigate threats posed by the unification process called for in the R-ARCSS
   - Improve monitoring and enforcement of the arms embargo, and hold violators accountable
   - Track corruption at the state level that is funding and fueling violence to disrupt the resource flow to perpetrators

5. Protect civilians from immediate violence
   - Support sub-national peace processes
   - Increase peacebuilding, development, and resilience programming in stable places (rather than exclusively focusing on humanitarian support)

- Increase support for national mechanisms that address conflict-related crimes such as mobile courts, military justice, the sexual and gender-based violence court, and civilian courts
- Address corruption, which is maintaining the system that allows political elites to fund violence against civilians
- Lead the UNSC in extending the mandate of UNMISS beyond March 2022, including the aspects of the mandate that relate to civilian protection
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